Doc. ID:	Version:	Publish date:
REP-3153	9.0	2025/09/15

Summary of safety and clinical performance G-PGD™

This Summary of Safety and Clinical Performance (SSCP) is intended to provide public access to an updated summary of the main aspects of the safety and clinical performance of the device.

The SSCP is not intended to replace the Instructions for Use (IFU) as the main document to ensure the safe use of the device, nor is it intended to provide diagnostic or therapeutic suggestions to intended users or patients.

The following information is intended for users/healthcare professionals.

1 Device Identification and general information

1.1	Device trade name	G-PGD™
1.2	Manufacturer's name and address	Vitrolife Sweden AB, Gustaf Werners gata 2, SE-421 32 Västra Frölunda, Sweden
1.3	Manufacturer's single registration number (SRN)	SE-MF-000002389
1.4	Basic UDI-DI	735002591AASEE
1.5	Global Medical device nomenclature (GMDN) code	44046
1.6	Class of device	Class III
1.7	Year when the first certificate (CE) was issued covering the device	2004
1.8	Authorized representative if applicable; name and SRN	Not applicable
1.9	NB's name (the NB that will validate the SSCP) and the NB's single identification number	DNV Product Assurance AS Veritasveien 1, 1363 Høvik, Norway Single Identification Number: 2460

2 Intended use of the device

2.1 Intended purpose

G-PGD is a medical device intended for use in Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) as a medium for embryo biopsy.

2.2 Indication(s) and target population(s)

The Indication for use of G-PGD is "medium for embryo biopsy". The intended target group is an adult population that undergoes *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) treatment including preimplantation testing (PGT) of embryos.

All rights reserved

Printed versions are uncontrolled except when stamped "REGISTERED WORKING COPY"

2.3 Contraindications and/or limitations

G-PGD™ contains gentamicin. Do not use in patients with known hypersensitivity/allergy to the component. However, according to the Indications for Use, G-PGD does not have patient contact.

3 Device description

3.1 Description of the device

G-PGD is a calcium (Ca^{2+}) and magnesium (Mg^{2+})-free MOPS buffered medium containing gentamicin as an antibacterial agent. For use after the addition of HSA-solution™ and equilibration at +37˚C and ambient atmosphere.

The medium is sterile filtered using aseptic technique and supplied in a 10 mL pre-sterilized bottle (Figure 1).

G-PGD is stable until the expiry date shown on the product labelling and the LOT-specific Certificate of Analysis.

- For Non-EU: Media bottles should not be stored after opening. Discard excess media after completion of the procedure.
- EU: Media bottles can be used for up to two weeks after first opening, use aseptic technique and minimize the time outside the refrigerator. Record the opening date on the bottle. Discard excess media no later than two weeks after first opening.



Figure 1. G-PGD

3.2 A reference to previous generation(s) or variants if such exist, and a description of the differences

G-PGD v3, which contained penicillin G as an antibiotic. In 2007, the antibacterial agent was changed from penicillin G to gentamicin due to the greater longevity of gentamicin.

3.3 Description of any accessories which are intended to be used in combination with the device

Not applicable.

3.4 Description of any other devices and products which are intended to be used in combination with the device

General equipment and sterile non-toxic disposables for the IVF lab including warming incubator, heating block, and HSA-solution.

4 Risks and warnings

4.1 Residual risks and undesirable effects

There are no unacceptable residual risks and no risks affecting the patient's health associated with the use of G-PGD. All the risks identified during risk management have the potential to affect either the user's health or the gametes/embryos and are acceptable after risk control measures. The clinical risks with the potential to affect the user are:

- User exposed to gentamicin
- Allergic user exposed to gentamicin

To control risks related to the use of G-PGD, all the raw materials are quality tested and each LOT of the final product is also analysed for sterility, endotoxin, and embryo toxicity prior to its release. Stability studies confirm the product is non-toxic for the entire lifetime. Additionally, the end user is informed about the device components, contraindication, precautions by providing information on labels and Instruction for Use.

4.2 Warnings and precautions

Precautions related to the use of G-PGD are listed.

- Discard product if bottle integrity is compromised. Do not use G-PGD if it appears cloudy.
- To avoid contamination Vitrolife strongly recommends that media should be opened and used only with aseptic technique.
- The risk of reproductive toxicity and developmental toxicity for IVF media, including Vitrolife´s IVF media, have not been determined and are uncertain.
- Any serious incident that has occurred in relation to the device should be reported to the manufacturer.
- Not for injection.
- Discard the product according to standard clinical practice for medical hazardous waste when the procedure is finished.

4.3 Other relevant aspects of safety, including a summary of any field safety corrective action (FSCA including FSN) if applicable

No FSCAs have been taken for G-PGD during its lifecycle.

5 Summary of clinical evaluation and post-market clinical follow-up

5.1 Summary of clinical data related to equivalent device, if applicable

Not applicable.

5.2 Summary of clinical data from conducted investigations of the device before the CE-marking, if applicable

Not applicable.

5.3 Summary of clinical data from other sources, if applicable

A systematic literature search was conducted to identify clinical data on the safety and performance of G-PGD. The blastocyst development rate reported after use of G-PGD (Basile et al. 2014; Grau et al. 2015; Cedillo et al. 2016; Majumdar et al. 2016; Rubio et al. 2017) align with the ESHRE competency values (ESHRE Special Interest Group of Embryology and Alpha Scientists in Reproductive Medicine 2017). The clinical pregnancy rates reported after use of G-PGD (Mir et al. 2013; Majumdar et al. 2016; Rubio et al. 2017; Pontre et al. 2019; Ye et al. 2021) align with the yearly European results published by ESHRE (Smeenk et al. 2023). Several references reported data on live births after the use of G-PGD (Rubio et al. 2013; Rubio et al. 2017; Pontre et al. 2019). According to the literature search outcomes, no deviation was found in the safety or performance of the device. No non-serious incidents or undesirable side-effects were identified after the use of G-PGD with a frequency or severity that negatively impact its benefit-risk profile. No post-market clinical follow-up (PMCF) studies have been conducted for G-PGD.

5.4 An overall summary of the clinical performance and safety

According to the Indication for Use, the clinical benefit of G-PGD is to support embryo biopsy for PGT, which is supported by data from published scientific literature (Basile et al. 2014; Garcia-Herrero et al. 2014; Grau et al. 2015; Cedillo et al. 2016; Majumdar et al. 2016; Rubio et al. 2017; Pontre et al. 2019; Ye et al. 2021). Data from PMS and risk management also support the safety and performance of G-PGD. There are no indications of any negative effects from use of G-PGD. Risk management has been effective: there are no unacceptable risks after risk evaluation and no new risks have been identified or are expected. Therefore, the benefit-risk profile is considered to be acceptable according to current knowledge/state of the art.

5.5 Ongoing or planned post-market clinical follow-up

There are no ongoing or planned post-market clinical follow-up studies for G-PGD. However, general PMCF procedures, such as screening of scientific literature, searching adverse event databases and conducting a PMCF end-user survey, will be performed.

6 Possible diagnostic or therapeutic alternatives

ART is a treatment option for patients failing to conceive naturally as well as patients who have tried other treatments such as medications and surgical procedures without success. There are no therapeutic alternatives for patients at this stage.

Alternatives to cleavage-stage biopsy are biopsy of the other developmental stages, noninvasive preimplantation genetic testing and the use of donated gametes.

A device with similar intended use as G-PGD is available in the European Union or other international markets.

7 Suggested profile and training for users

The end user (IVF professional) is expected to be trained and qualified within ART field and to understand the Indication for Use of G-PGD. As no special design or safety concerns were identified for G-PGD, no specific training required is for the end-users.

8 Reference to any harmonised standards and common specifications applied

- Medical Devices Regulation (EU) 2017/745 (MDR)
- EN ISO 14971:2019. Medical devices — Application of risk management to medical devices
- EN ISO 15223-1:2016. Medical devices — Symbols to be used with medical device labels, labelling and information to be supplied — Part 1: General requirements
- EN ISO 20417:2021. Medical devices — Information to be supplied by the manufacturer MEDDEV 2.7/4
- EN ISO/TR 20416:2020. Medical devices — Post-market surveillance for manufacturers
- MDCG 2020-6 Regulation (EU) 2017/745: Clinical evidence needed for medical devices previously CE marked under Directives 93/42/EEC or 90/385/EEC. A guide for manufacturers and notified bodies. April 2020
- MDCG 2019-9 Rev.1. Summary of safety and clinical performance. A guide for manufacturers and notified bodies. March 2022

The conformity assessment will be performed according to the procedure outlined in Annex IX of the MDR (EU) 2017/745.

9 Revision history

SSCP revision number	Date issued	Change description	Revision validated by the Notified Body
1	2021-03-12	Initial version of draft SSCP for G-PGD (REP-3153-v.1.0)	
2	2021-03-24	Correction of draft SSCP for G-PGD (REP-3153-v.2.0)	
3	2022-03-28	Periodic update of SSCP for G-PGD (REP-3153-v.3.0)	
4	2022-09-16	Addition of NB full address, conformity assessment, removal of Stevens et al., 2003 study according to CER	
5	2023-02-16	Address DNV clinical NCs (REP-3153-v.5.0)	
6	2023-05-10	Annual update of SSCP for G-PGD (REP-3153-v.6.0)	
7	2024-05-15	Annual update of SSCP for G-PGD (REP-3153-v.7.0)	
8	2025-03-10	Edit Section 6 of SSCP for G-PGD (REP-3153-v.8.0)	☑ Yes Validation language: English
9	See publish date	Annual update of SSCP for G-PGD (REP-3153-v.9.0)	☑ Yes Validation language: English

10 References

Basile N, Nogales Mdel C, Bronet F, Florensa M, Riqueiros M, Rodrigo L, Garcia-Velasco J, Meseguer M. 2014. Increasing the probability of selecting chromosomally normal embryos by time-lapse morphokinetics analysis. *Fertility and sterility* **101**: 699-704.

Cedillo L, Ocampo-Barcenas A, Maldonado I, Valdez-Morales FJ, Camargo F, Lopez-Bayghen E. 2016. A simple, less invasive stripper micropipetter-based technique for day 3 embryo biopsy. *Fertility research and practice* **2**: 13.

ESHRE Special Interest Group of Embryology, Alpha Scientists in Reproductive Medicine. 2017. The Vienna consensus: report of an expert meeting on the development of ART laboratory performance indicators. *Reprod Biomed Online* **35**: 494-510.

Garcia-Herrero S, Rodrigo L, Mateu E, Peinado V, Milan M, Campos-Galindo I, Vera M, Mercader A, Bronet F, Requena A et al. 2014. Embryo aneuploidy screening with CGH arrays in the absense of implantation. *Gynecol Endocrinol* **16**: 112-119.

Grau N, Escrich L, Galiana Y, Meseguer M, Garcia-Herrero S, Remohi J, Escriba MJ. 2015. Morphokinetics as a predictor of self-correction to diploidy in tripronucleated intracytoplasmic sperm injection-derived human embryos. *Fertility and sterility* **104**: 728-735.

Majumdar G, Majumdar A, Lall M, Verma IC, Upadhyaya KC. 2016. Preimplantation genetic screening for all 24 chromosomes by microarray comparative genomic hybridization significantly increases implantation rates and clinical pregnancy rates in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization with poor prognosis. *J Hum Reprod Sci* **9**: 94-100.

Mir P, Rodrigo L, Mercader A, Buendia P, Mateu E, Milan-Sanchez M, Peinado V, Pellicer A, Remohi J, Simon C et al. 2013. False positive rate of an arrayCGH platform for single-cell preimplantation genetic screening and subsequent clinical application on day-3. *J Assist Reprod Genet* **30**: 143-149.

Pontre JC, Ryan JP, Tan A, Hart RJ. 2019. The interval transfer of a frozen-thawed embryo is more successful than a fresh embryo transfer for women undergoing IVF with recurrent implantation failure after cleavage stage embryo biopsy. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* **59**: 134-139.

Rubio C, Bellver J, Rodrigo L, Bosch E, Mercader A, Vidal C, De los Santos MJ, Giles J, Labarta E, Domingo J et al. 2013. Preimplantation genetic screening using fluorescence in situ hybridization in patients with repetitive implantation failure and advanced maternal age: two randomized trials. *Fertility and sterility* **99**: 1400-1407.

Rubio C, Bellver J, Rodrigo L, Castillon G, Guillen A, Vidal C, Giles J, Ferrando M, Cabanillas S, Remohi J et al. 2017. In vitro fertilization with preimplantation genetic diagnosis for aneuploidies in advanced maternal age: a randomized, controlled study. *Fertility and sterility* **107**: 1122-1129.

Smeenk J, Wyns C, De Geyter C, Kupka M, Bergh C, Cuevas Saiz I, De Neubourg D, Rezabek K, Tandler-Schneider A, Rugescu I et al. 2023. ART in Europe, 2019: results generated from European registries by ESHRE. *Human reproduction* **38**: 2321-2338.

Ye Y, Ma J, Cui L, Lu S, Jin F. 2021. A Rapid NGS-Based Preimplantation Genetic Testing for Chromosomal Abnormalities in Day-3 Blastomere Biopsy Allows Embryo Transfer Within the Same Treatment Cycle. *Frontiers in genetics* **12**: 636370.